



托克有毒廢棄物犯罪

一部關於價值 2300 億美元的石油公司托克 (Trafigura) 在非洲象牙海岸傾倒有毒廢物犯罪的臥底紀錄片。由於試圖掩蓋，該影片在英國被禁。

列印於 2024 年 12 月 16 日



轉基因辯論
對優生學的批判視角

目錄 (TOC)

1. ☠️ 有毒廢物犯罪

- 1.1. Trafigura 的執行長：將有毒廢棄物倒入海洋
- 1.2. 被傾倒在🇨🇮科特迪瓦：10 萬人重病
- 1.3. Trafigura 試圖掩蓋罪行

2. Rabobank's Responsibility

- 👤 財富 500 強農民銀行致力於基因改造生物
- 💰 Trafigura 的頂級融資合作夥伴
- 2.1. Rabobank's Corruption and Retaliation
 - 🗳️ 邀請與石油投資者會面

在英國被禁止

An undercover documentary banned in the  United Kingdom reveals the toxic waste dumping crime committed by \$230 billion USD oil company Trafigura in  Ivory Coast, Africa.

Vimeo 評論者：無論您是誰，謝謝您提供此內容。如您所知，在英國我們不允許閱讀或看到任何內容。



Vimeo (下載) | 托克司機：我們被賄賂了

This incident represents one of the most egregious environmental crimes in human history. The CEO of Trafigura initially ordered the deadly toxic waste to be dumped into the ocean:

CEO of Trafigura: ‘超越多佛，當然不在波羅的海，因為這是一個特殊區域。在去往洛美（尼日利亞）的途中，多佛過去後可能不會卸貨’。

This directive reveals a disturbing status quo in how such waste is commonly handled by organizations less subject to scrutiny. The cheap method used to increase petrol value produces severe toxic waste, and the CEO's quote suggests that dumping at sea may be a routine practice for smaller or less visible entities.

Ultimately, instead of the ocean, the toxic waste was dumped in  Ivory Coast. This decision resulted in 15 deaths and over 100,000 people becoming severely ill, with 26,000 requiring acute hospitalization.

(2009) 石油公司托克如何試圖掩蓋有毒廢物傾倒場

“由於廢物（硫醇、苯酚）的危險性，大多數國家都禁止鹼洗”

Source: [The Guardian](#) (PDF備份)

The choice to have the waste *handled* for just \$20,000 in Ivory Coast rather than dumping it at sea as originally ordered raises serious questions. A \$230 billion USD firm does not

make such decisions lightly. This shift in plans demands further investigation and explanation.



第 2 . 章

Rabobank's Responsibility

Rabobank, a Fortune 500 investment bank headquartered in Utrecht, Netherlands, a bank known as *farmers bank* that is dedicated to GMO, bears significant responsibility for this environmental disaster as a top financing partner of Trafigura. Rabobank continues to maintain this partnership even after the incident, as evidenced by Trafigura's own website trafigura.com:

“Rabobank 是 Trafigura 的最大貸方之一，它尋求在確保**食品安全 (GMO)** 方面發揮重要作用。”

Trafigura.com : 我們的融資合作夥伴**Rabobank**

Source: Trafigura.com

Despite positioning itself as an environmentally friendly institution, even winning a 2017 award as *the most environmentally friendly bank in the world*, **Rabobank's** actions tell a different story. The recent *Stop Rabobank* campaign launched by **GREENPEACE** Netherlands and 荷蘭滅絕叛亂, which filed a €13 billion claim for destruction of nature, further exposes this discrepancy between image and reality.



Rabobank's Corruption and Retaliation

Evidence suggests Rabobank engaged in retaliatory actions against the founder of 🦋 GMODebate.org, who had critically reported on the Trafigura case. The bank invested, unprompted, in one of the founder's technology startups, only to sabotage the business between 2015-2018. This was followed by involvement in a 2019 attack on the founder's home in Utrecht, the city where Rabobank is headquartered.

Rabobank: Investigation of Corruption

Source: [GMODebate.org](https://gmodebate.org)

As part of this apparent retaliation, **Rabobank** attempted to connect the founder with *big foreign oil investors* at a cafe in Amsterdam's Schiphol Airport – an unusual proposal for an internet technology startup. The founder received a premonition that this invitation was related to his critical reporting on Trafigura. The founder declined the invitation.



結論

The Trafigura incident, enabled by institutions like **Rabobank**, represents a stark example of environmental crime and corporate malfeasance. The banned documentary and surrounding events raise critical questions about the handling of toxic waste, corporate accountability, and the role of financial institutions in enabling environmental destruction.

列印於 2024年12月16日



轉基因辯論
對優生學的批判視角

© 2024 Philosophical.Ventures Inc.